

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| 09/868,654 | 07/02/2001 | Kiminori Tamai | P10742400031 | P10742400031 5763 | |
| 23353 | 7590 08/07/2003 | | | | |
| RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | H STREET N.W., SUITE 501 | | RIBAR, TRAVIS B | | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20036 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1711 | | |

DATE MAILED: 08/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| . Ac | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/868,654 | TAMAI ET AL. | | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Travis B Ribar | 1711 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | g date of the final rejecti IE FINAL REJECTION. | on. See MPEP | | | | |
| ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | the shortened statutory period for reply coe later than three months after the mail CFR 1.704(b). | originally set in the final ing date of the final reje | Office action; or ction, even if | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>18 July 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | | |
| (a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which wer | e newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | . | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 6 and 7 introduce the new issues of being drawn to a molded article instead of a transparent plastic film. In addition, claim 7 adds the new limitation of a plate-shaped molded article..

James J. Seldleck Supartisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700